**Civic center bill clears legislature**

By PALL CRISS
Journal Capital Correspondent

CHICAGO, April 30, 1912 — The bill which would allow municipalities to house on a long term basis the buildings of civic centers, has cleared both houses and will go next to the governor for his signature.

The House Monday afternoon refused to reconsider the incraze by a vote of 81 to 9 after deciding the recommendation of Governor Saturday 159.

Rep. Gene Lefcourt, D-Rapids City, told the House 89 that was what he had in mind and was the reason he voted against the bill on Saturday, adding that he had received many telephone calls from Rapid City residents who were opposed to the measure.

The House Monday also canceled a Senate bill which would have created a state board of county welfare.

The Senate amended the original bill which would have expanded the board to include more members and added it to the bill.
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**Planning commission considers plating, rezoning requests, travel park zoning**

By J. ACK GETZ

The Rapid City Planning Commission denied one rezoning request, 90-137, and asked the recommendation of the planning director for the first step to be taken in the process of rezoning the property. The decision was made by a vote of 5 to 0.

A motion by Planner Ron Levetich to continue the matter until better dates and meeting times were available was approved by the planning commission. The motion to continue was then carried.

Levetich was requested to support the city in the rezoning process and to make sure the property is zoned as a park.

While most of the city business was handled by the Rapid City Planning Commission, a special meeting of the commission was held on one item.

One was how to handle the traffic park development. The city planning commission gave final approval for the development of the traffic park, with a few exceptions, after receiving the comment of the commission. A separate ordinance which would have designated the commission's authority to approve or reject the development was not included in the ordinance.

A separate ordinance which would have designated the commission's authority to approve or reject the development was not included in the ordinance. The commission approved the traffic park development with a few exceptions, after receiving the comment of the commission. A separate ordinance which would have designated the commission's authority to approve or reject the development was not included in the ordinance.
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